

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	Application No. 09/618,367	Applicant(s) JIRMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner DIEM K. CAO	Art Unit 2194	

All Participants:

(1) DIEM K. CAO.

(2) Mani Adeli (Reg. No. 39,585).

Date of Interview: 11 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) Lam Doan (Reg. No. 63,593).

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103

Claims discussed:

1, 10, 23, 30, 39, 42, 46

Prior art documents discussed:

Wlner (US 5,872,909), Teegan (US 7,243,271), Ward (US 2002/0083217 A1)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed amendment to claims to put the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to some of the proposed amendment and worked out with examiner to have claims that are allowable. Applicant agreed and authorized for examiner to amend the claims via Examiner's Amendment. Changes to claims are set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)